VNU Journal of Science, Mathematics - Physics 26 (2010) 163-173

Stability Radius of Linear Dynamic Equations with Constant Coefficients on Time Scales

Le Hong Lan[1,*], Nguyen Chi Liem[2]

[1]*Department of Basic Sciences, University of Transport and Communication, Hanoi, Vietnam*
[2]*Department of Mathematics, Mechanics and Informatics, University of Science, VNU, 334 Nguyen Trai, Hanoi, Vietnam*

Received 10 August 2010

Abstract. This paper considers the exponential stability and stability radius of time-invarying dynamic equations with respect to linear dynamic perturbations on time scales. A formula for the stability radius is given.

Keywords and phrases : time scales, exponential function, linear dynamic equation, exponentially stable, stability radius

1. Introduction

In the last decade, there have been extensive works on studying of robustness measures, where one of the most powerful ideas is the concept of the stability radii, introduced by Hinrichsen and Pritchard [1]. The stability radius is defined as the smallest (in norm) complex or real perturbations destabilizing the system. In [2], if $x^{'} = Ax$ is the nominal system they assume that the perturbed system can be represented in the form

$$x^{'} = (A + BDC)x, \tag{1}$$

where D is an unknown disturbance matrix and B, C are known scaling matrices defining the "structure" of the perturbation. The complex stability radius is given by

$$\left[\max_{t\in i\mathbb{R}} \|C(tI - A)^{-1}B\|\right]^{-1}. \tag{2}$$

If the nominal system is the difference equation $x_{n+1} = Ax_n$ in [3] they assume that the perturbed system can be represented in the form

$$x_{n+1} = (A + BDC)x_n. \tag{3}$$

Then, the complex stability radius is given by

$$\left[\max_{\omega\in\mathbb{C}:|\omega|=1} \|C(\omega I - A)^{-1}B\|\right]^{-1}. \tag{4}$$

* Corresponding authors. E-mail: honglanle229@gmail.com
This work was supported by the project **B2010 - 04**.

Earlier results for time-varying systems can be found, e.g., in [4, 5]. The most successful attempt for finding a formula of the stability radius was an elegant result given by Jacob [5]. Using this result, the notion and formula of the stability radius were extended to linear time-invariant differential-algebraic systems [6, 7]; and to linear time-varying differential and difference-algebraic systems [8, 9].

On the other hand, the theory of the analysis on time scales, which has been received a lot of attention, was introduced by Stefan Hilger in his Ph.D thesis in 1988 (supervised by Bernd Aulbach) [10] in order to unify the continuous and discrete analyses. By using the notation of the analysis on time scale, the equations (1) and (3) can be rewritten under the unified form

$$x^{\Delta} = (A + BDC)x, \tag{5}$$

where Δ is the differentiable operator on a time scale $\mathbb{T}$ (see the notions in the section 2).

Naturally, the question arises whether, by using the theory of analysis on time scale, we can express the formulas (2) and (4) in a unified form. The purpose of this paper is to answer this question.

The difficulty we are faced when dealing with this problem is that although A, B, C are constant matrices but the structure of a time scale is, perhaps, rather complicated and the system (5) in fact is an time-varying system. Moreover, so far there exist some concepts of the exponential stability which have not got a unification of point of view. In [11], author used the classical exponent function to define the asymptotical stability meanwhile the exponent function on time scale has been used in [12]. The first obtained result of this paper is to show that two these definitions are equivalent. To establish a unification formula for computing stability radii of the system (1) and (3) which is at the same time an extention to (5), we follow the way in [12] to define the so-called domain of the exponential stability of a time scale. By the definition of this domain, the problem of stability radius for the equation (5) deduces to one similar to the autonomous case where we know how to solve it as in [13].

This paper is organized as follows. In the section 2, we summarize some preliminary results on time scales. Section 3 gives a definition of the stability domain for a time scale and find out some its properties. The last section deals with the formula of the stability radius for (5).

2. Preliminaries

A time scale is a nonempty closed subset of the real numbers $\mathbb{R}$, and we usually denote it by the symbol $\mathbb{T}$. The most popular examples are $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$. We assume throughout that a time scale $\mathbb{T}$ has the topology that inherits from the standard topology of the real numbers. We define the *forward jump operator* and the *backward jump operator* $\sigma, \rho : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{T}$ by $\sigma(t) = \inf\{s \in \mathbb{T} : s > t\}$ (supplemented by $\inf \emptyset = \sup \mathbb{T}$) and $\rho(t) = \sup\{s \in \mathbb{T} : s < t\}$ (supplemented by $\sup \emptyset = \inf \mathbb{T}$). The *graininess* $\mu : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$ is given by $\mu(t) = \sigma(t) - t$. A point $t \in \mathbb{T}$ is said to be *right-dense* if $\sigma(t) = t$, *right-scattered* if $\sigma(t) > t$, *left-dense* if $\rho(t) = t$, *left-scattered* if $\rho(t) < t$, and *isolated* if t is right-scattered and left-scattered. For every $a, b \in \mathbb{T}$, by $[a, b]$, we mean the set $\{t \in \mathbb{T} : a \leqslant t \leqslant b\}$. For our purpose, we will assume that the time scale $\mathbb{T}$ is unbounded above, i.e., $\sup \mathbb{T} = \infty$. Let f be a function defined on $\mathbb{T}$. We say that f is *delta differentiable* (or simply: *differentiable*) at $t \in \mathbb{T}$ provided there exists a number, namely $f^{\Delta}(t)$, such that for all $\epsilon > 0$ there is a neighborhood V around t with $|f(\sigma(t)) - f(s) - f^{\Delta}(t)(\sigma(t) - s)| \leqslant \epsilon|\sigma(t) - s|$ for all $s \in$V. If f is differentiable for every $t \in \mathbb{T}$, then f is said to be *differentiable on* $\mathbb{T}$. If $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$ then delta derivative is $f'(t)$ from continuous calculus; if $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$ then the delta derivative is the forward difference, Δf, from discrete calculus. A

function $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ is called *regulated* provided its right-sided limits (finite) at all right-dense points in $\mathbb{T}$ and its left-sided limits exist (finite) at all left-dense points in $\mathbb{T}$. A function f defined on $\mathbb{T}$ is *rd-continuous* if it is continuous at every right-dense point and if the left-sided limit exists at every left-dense point. The set of all rd-continuous function from $\mathbb{T}$ to $\mathbb{R}$ is denoted by $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{rd}}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$. A function f from $\mathbb{T}$ to $\mathbb{R}$ is *regressive* (resp. *positively regressive*) if $1 + \mu(t)f(t) \neq 0$ (resp. $1 + \mu(t)f(t) > 0$) for every $t \in \mathbb{T}$. We denote $\mathcal{R}$ (resp. $\mathcal{R}^+$) the set of regressive functions (resp. positively regressive) from $\mathbb{T}$ to $\mathbb{R}$. The space of rd-continuous, regressive functions from $\mathbb{T}$ to $\mathbb{R}$ is denoted by $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{rd}}\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ and, $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{rd}}\mathcal{R}^+(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R}) := \{f \in \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{rd}}\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R}) : 1 + \mu(t)f(t) > 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad t \in \mathbb{T}\}$. The *circle addition* $\oplus$ is defined by $(p \oplus q)(t) = p(t) + q(t) + \mu(t)p(t)q(t)$. For $p \in \mathcal{R}$, the inverse element is given by $(\ominus p)(t) = -\frac{p(t)}{1+\mu(t)p(t)}$ and if we define *circle subtraction* $\ominus$ by $(p \ominus q)(t) = (p \oplus (\ominus q))(t)$ then $(p \ominus q)(t) = \frac{p(t)-q(t)}{1+\mu(t)q(t)}$.

Let $s \in \mathbb{T}$ and let $(A(t))_{t \geqslant s}$ be a $d \times d$ rd-continuous function. The initial value problem

$$x^{\Delta} = A(t)x, x(s) = x_0 \tag{6}$$

has a unique solution $x(t, s)$ defined on $t \geqslant s$. For any $s \in \mathbb{T}$, the unique matrix-valued solution, namely $\Phi_A(t, s)$, of the initial value problem $X^{\Delta} = A(t)X, X(s) = I$, is called the Cauchy operator of (6). It is seen that $\Phi_A(t, s) = \Phi_A(t, \tau)\Phi_A(\tau, s)$ for all $t \geqslant \tau \geqslant s$.

When $d = 1$, for any rd-continuous function $q(\cdot)$, the solution of the dynamic equation $x^{\Delta} = q(t)x$, with the initial condition $x(s) = 1$ defined a so-called exponential function (defined on the time scale $\mathbb{T}$ if $q(\cdot)$ is regressive; defined only $t \geqslant s$ if $q(\cdot)$ is non-regressive). We denote this exponential function by $e_q(t, s)$. We list some necessary properties that we will use later.

Theorem 2.1. *Assume $p, q : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ are rd-continuous, then the followings hold*

i) $e_0(t, s) = 1 \quad$ *and* $\quad e_p(t, t) = 1$,
ii) $e_p(\sigma(t), s) = (1 + \mu(t)p(t))e_p(t, s)$,
iii) $e_p(t, s)e_p(s, r) = e_p(t, r)$,
iv) $e_p(t, s)e_q(t, s) = e_{p\oplus q}(t, s)$,
v) $\frac{e_p(t,s)}{e_q(t,s)} = e_{p\ominus q}(t, s)$ *if q is regressive,*
vi) If $p \in \mathcal{R}^+$ then $e_p(t, s) > 0$ for all $t, s \in \mathbb{T}$,
vii) $\int_a^b p(s)e_p(c, \sigma(s))\Delta s = e_p(c, a) - e_p(c, b)$ *for all $a, b, c \in \mathbb{T}$,*
viii) If $p \in \mathcal{R}^+$ and $p(t) \leqslant q(t)$ for all $t \geqslant s$ then $e_p(t, s) \leqslant e_q(t, s) \quad$ for all $\quad t \geqslant s$.

Proof. See [14], [15] and [16].

The following relation is called the constant variation formula.

Theorem 2.2. [See [17], Definition 5.2 and Theorem 6.4] *If the right-hand side of two equations $x^{\Delta} = A(t)x$ and $x^{\Delta} = A(t)x + f(t, x)$ is rd-continuous, then the solution of the initial value problem $x^{\Delta} = A(t)x + f(t, x), x(t_0) = x_0$ is given by*

$$x(t) = \Phi_A(t, t_0)x_0 + \int_{t_0}^{t} \Phi_A(t, \sigma(s))f(s, x(s))\Delta s, \quad t > t_0.$$

Lemma 2.3. [Gronwall's Inequality]. *Let* $u, a, b \in \mathrm{C_{rd}}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$, $b(t) \geqslant 0$ *for all* $t \in \mathbb{T}$. *The inequality*

$$u(t) \leqslant a(t) + \int_{t_0}^{t} b(s)u(s)\,\Delta s \ \text{ for all } t \geqslant t_0$$

implies

$$u(t) \leqslant a(t) + \int_{t_0}^{t} a(s)b(s)e_b(t, \sigma(s))\,\Delta s \ \text{ for all } t \geqslant t_0.$$

Corollary 2.4.

1. If $u \in \mathrm{C_{rd}}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$, $b(t) \equiv L \geqslant 0$ and $u(t) \leqslant a(t) + L\int_{t_0}^{t} u(s)\,\Delta s$ for all $t \geqslant t_0$ implies $u(t) \leqslant a(t) + L\int_{t_0}^{t} e_L(t, \sigma(s))a(s)\,\Delta s$ for all $t \geqslant t_0$.
2. If $u, b \in \mathrm{C_{rd}}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$, $b(t) \geqslant 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$ and $u(t) \leqslant u_0 + \int_{t_0}^{t} b(s)u(s)\,\Delta s$ for all $t \geqslant t_0$ then $u(t) \leqslant u_0 e_b(t, t_0)$ for all $t \geqslant t_0$.

To prove the Gronwall's inequality and corollaries, we can find in [14]. For more information on the analysis on time scales, we can refer to [17, 18, 19, 20] .

3. Exponential Stability of Dynamic Equations on Time Scales

Denote $\mathbb{T}^+ = [t_0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{T}$. We consider the dynamic equation on the time scale $\mathbb{T}$

$$x^{\Delta} = f(t, x), \tag{7}$$

where $f : \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ to be a continuous function and $f(t, 0) = 0$.

For the existence, uniqueness and extendibility of solution of initial value problem (7) we can refer to [15]. On exponential stability of dynamic equations on time scales, we often use one of two following definitions.

Let $x(t) = x(t, \tau, x_0)$ be a solution of (7) with the initial condition $x(\tau) = x_0, \tau \geqslant t_0$, where $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Definition 3.1. [See S. Hilger [10, 17], J. J. DaCunha [11], ...] The solution $x \equiv 0$ of the dynamic equation (7) is said to be exponentially stable if there exists a positive constant α with $-\alpha \in \mathcal{R}^+$ such that for every $\tau \in \mathbb{T}^+$ there exists a $N = N(\tau) \geqslant 1$, the solution of (7) with the initial condition $x(\tau) = x_0$ satisfies $\|x(t; \tau, x_0)\| \leqslant N\|x_0\|e_{-\alpha}(t, \tau)$, for all $t \geqslant \tau, t \in \mathbb{T}^+$.

Definition 3.2. [See C. Pötzsche, S. Siegmund, F. Wirth [12],...] The solution $x \equiv 0$ of (7) is called exponentially stable if there exists a constant $\alpha > 0$ such that for every $\tau \in \mathbb{T}^+$ there exists a $N = N(\tau) \geqslant 1$, the solution of (7) with the initial condition $x(\tau) = x_0$ satisfies $\|x(t; \tau, x_0)\| \leqslant N\|x_0\|e^{-\alpha(t-\tau)}$, for all $t \geqslant \tau, t \in \mathbb{T}^+$.

If the constant N can be chosen independent from $\tau \in \mathbb{T}^+$ then the solution $x \equiv 0$ of (7) is called uniformly exponentially stable.

Note that when applying Definition , the condition $-\alpha \in \mathcal{R}^+$ is equivalent to $\mu(t) \leqslant \frac{1}{\alpha}$. This means that we are working on time scales with bounded graininess.

Beside these definitions, we can find other exponentially stable definitions in [21] and [22].

Theorem 3.3. *Two definitions and are equivalent on time scales with bounded graininess.*

Proof. If $-\alpha \in \mathcal{R}^+, t \geqslant \tau$ then $e_{-\alpha}(t,\tau) = \exp\left\{\int_\tau^t \lim_{u \searrow \mu(s)} \frac{\ln|1-\alpha u|}{u}\Delta s\right\}$ where

$$\lim_{u \searrow \mu(s)} \frac{\ln|1-\alpha u|}{u} = \begin{cases} -\alpha & \text{if } \mu(s) = 0, \\ \frac{\ln(1-\alpha\mu(s))}{\mu(s)} & \text{if } \mu(s) > 0. \end{cases}$$

So

$$\lim_{u \searrow \mu(s)} \frac{\ln|1-\alpha u|}{u} \leqslant -\alpha, \text{ for all } s \in \mathbb{T}.$$

Therefore, $e_{-\alpha}(t,\tau) \leqslant e^{-\alpha(t-\tau)}$ for all $\alpha > 0, -\alpha \in \mathcal{R}^+$ and $t \geqslant \tau$. Hence, the stability due to Definition implies the one due to Definition .

Conversely, with $\alpha > 0$ we put

$$\bar{\alpha}(t) = \lim_{s \searrow \mu(t)} \frac{e^{-\alpha s} - 1}{s} = \begin{cases} -\alpha & \text{if } \mu(t) = 0, \\ \frac{e^{-\alpha\mu(t)}-1}{\mu(t)} & \text{if } \mu(t) > 0. \end{cases}$$

It is obvious that $\bar{\alpha}(\cdot) \in \mathcal{R}^+$ and $e_{\bar{\alpha}(\cdot)}(t,\tau) = e^{-\alpha(t-\tau)}$. Let $M := \sup_{t\in\mathbb{T}^+} \mu(t)$. If $M = 0$, i.e., $\mu(t) = 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$, then $\bar{\alpha}(t) \equiv -\alpha$. When $M > 0$ we consider the function $y = \frac{e^{-\alpha u}-1}{u}$ with $0 < u \leqslant M$. It is easy to see that this function is increasing. In both two cases we have $\bar{\alpha}(t) \leqslant \beta := \lim_{s \searrow M} \frac{e^{-\alpha s}-1}{s}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}^+$.

Therefore, $e_{\bar{\alpha}(\cdot)}(t,\tau) = e^{-\alpha(t-\tau)} \leqslant e_\beta(t,\tau)$, for all $t \geqslant \tau$. By noting that $-\beta > 0$ and $\beta \in \mathcal{R}^+$ we conclude that Definition implies Definition . The proof is complete.

By virtue of Theorem , in this paper we shall use only Definition to consider the exponential stability.

We now consider the condition of exponential stability for linear time-invariant equations

$$x^\Delta = Ax, \tag{8}$$

where $A \in \mathbb{K}^{d\times d}$ ($\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$). We denote $\sigma(A) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \lambda \text{ is an eigenvalue of } A\}$.

Theorem 3.4. *The trivial solution $x \equiv 0$ of the equation (8) is uniformly exponentially stable if and only if for every $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$, the scalar equation $x^\Delta = \lambda x$ is uniformly exponentially stable.*

Proof.

" $\Longrightarrow$ " Assume that the trivial solution $x \equiv 0$ of the equation (8) is uniformly exponentially stable and $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$ with its corresponding eigenvector $v \in \mathbb{C}^d \setminus \{0\}$. It is easy to see that $e_\lambda(t,\tau)v$ is a solution of the equation (8). Therefore, there are $N \geqslant 1$ and $\alpha > 0, -\alpha \in \mathcal{R}^+$ such that $|e_\lambda(t,\tau)v| \leqslant Ne_{-\alpha}(t,\tau)\|v\|, t \geqslant \tau$. Hence, $|e_\lambda(t,\tau)| \leqslant Ne_{-\alpha}(t,\tau)$, $t \geqslant \tau$.

" $\Longleftarrow$ " Let $(\Phi_A(t,\tau))_{t\geqslant\tau}$ be the Cauchy operator of the equation (8). We consider the Jordan form of the matrix A

$$S^{-1}AS = \begin{pmatrix} J_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & J_n \end{pmatrix},$$

where $J_i \in \mathbb{C}^{d_i \times d_i}$ is a Jordan block

$$J_i := \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_i & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ & \lambda_i & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & & & & \lambda_i \end{pmatrix},$$

and $\lambda_i \in \sigma(A), d_1 + d_2 + ... + d_n = d, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n \leqslant d$.

Since

$$\Phi_A(t,\tau) = S \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_{J_1}(t,\tau) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \Phi_{J_n}(t,\tau) \end{pmatrix} S^{-1},$$

it suffices to prove the reverse relation with

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ & \lambda & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & & & & \lambda \end{pmatrix},$$

where the equation $x^{\Delta} = \lambda x$ is uniformly exponentially stable. Let $x = (xx_1, x_2, ..., x_d)$. The equation $x^{\Delta} = Ax$ can be rewritten as follows

$$\begin{cases} x_1^{\Delta} = \lambda x_1 + x_2 \\ x_2^{\Delta} = \lambda x_2 + x_3 \\ \cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots \\ x_d^{\Delta} = \lambda x_d, \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

with the initial conditions $x_k(\tau) = x_k^0, k = 1, \cdots, d$. The assumption that the equation $x^{\Delta} = \lambda x$ is uniformly exponentially stable implies $|e_\lambda(t,\tau)| \leqslant N e_{-\alpha}(t,\tau)$, with $N, \alpha > 0, -\alpha \in \mathcal{R}^+$ and $t \geqslant \tau$. The last equation of (9) gives $x_d = e_\lambda(t,\tau)x_d^0$. So

$$|x_d(t)| = |e_\lambda(t,\tau)x_d^0| \leqslant N|x_d^0|e_{-\alpha}(t,\tau) \leqslant N\|x_0\|e_{-\alpha}(t,\tau), \quad \text{for all } t \geqslant \tau.$$

By the constant variation formula, we have the representation,

$$x_{d-1}(t) = e_\lambda(t,\tau)x_{d-1}^0 + \int_\tau^t e_\lambda(t,\sigma(s))e_\lambda(s,\tau)x_d^0 \Delta s$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |x_{d-1}(t)| &\leqslant N e_{-\alpha}(t,\tau)|x_{d-1}^0| + \int_\tau^t N^2 e_{-\alpha}(t,\sigma(s))e_{-\alpha}(s,\tau)|x_d^0|\Delta s \\ &\leqslant N|x_{d-1}^0|e_{-\alpha}(t,\tau) + N^2|x_d^0| \int_\tau^t e_{-\frac{2\alpha}{3}}(t,\sigma(s))e_{-\frac{2\alpha}{3}}(s,\tau)\Delta s \\ &= N|x_{d-1}^0|e_{-\alpha}(t,\tau) + N^2|x_d^0| \int_\tau^t \frac{1}{(1-\frac{2\alpha}{3}\mu(s))} e_{-\frac{2\alpha}{3}}(t,s)e_{-\frac{2\alpha}{3}}(s,\tau)\Delta s \\ &\leqslant N|x_{d-1}^0|e_{-\frac{\alpha}{3}}(t,\tau) + N^2|x_d^0|e_{-\frac{2\alpha}{3}}(t,\tau) \int_\tau^t \frac{\Delta s}{1-\frac{2\alpha}{3}\mu(s)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $-\alpha \in \mathcal{R}^+$, we have $1-\alpha\mu(s) > 0$ which is equivalent to $1-\frac{2\alpha}{3}\mu(s) > \frac{1}{3}$ for all $s \in \mathbb{T}$. Hence,

$$|x_{d-1}(t)| \leqslant N|x^0_{d-1}|e_{-\frac{\alpha}{3}}(t,\tau) + 3N^2|x^0_d|(t-\tau)e_{-\frac{2\alpha}{3}}(t,\tau).$$

Further, from the relation $(-\frac{\alpha}{3})\oplus(-\frac{\alpha}{3})(t) = -\frac{2\alpha}{3}+(-\frac{2\alpha}{3})^2\mu(t) \geqslant -\frac{2\alpha}{3}$, it follows that $e_{-\frac{\alpha}{3}}(t,\tau).e_{-\frac{\alpha}{3}}(t,\tau)$ $= e_{(-\frac{\alpha}{3})\oplus(-\frac{\alpha}{3})}(t,\tau) \geqslant e_{-\frac{2\alpha}{3}}(t,\tau)$. On the other hand, $e_{-\frac{\alpha}{3}}(t,\tau) \leqslant \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha(t-\tau)}{3}\right)$ for any $t > \tau$. Therefore, $(t-\tau)e_{-\frac{\alpha}{3}}(t,\tau) \leqslant (t-\tau)\exp\left(-\frac{\alpha(t-\tau)}{3}\right) \leqslant \frac{3\exp(-1)}{\alpha}$. Thus,

$$|x_{d-1}(t)| \leqslant K^1\|x_0\|e_{-\frac{\alpha}{3}}(t,\tau),$$

where $K^1 = N + \frac{3N^2\exp(-1)}{\alpha}$.

Continuing this way, we can find $K > 0$ and $\beta > 0$ with $\beta \in \mathcal{R}^+$ such that

$$\|x\| \leqslant K\|x_0\|e_{-\beta}(t,\tau), \quad \text{for all } t \geqslant \tau.$$

The theorem is proved.

Remark 3.5. It is easy to give an example where on the time scale $\mathbb{T}$, the scalar dynamic equation $x^\Delta = \lambda x$ is exponentially stable but it is not exponentially uniformly stale. Indeed, denote $((a,b)) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : a < n < b\}$. Consider the time scale

$$\mathbb{T} = \bigcup_n [2^{2n}, 2^{2n+1}] \bigcup_n ((2^{2n+1}, 2^{2n+2})).$$

Let $\lambda = -2$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{T}$, says $2^m \leqslant \tau < 2^{m+1}$. We can choose $\alpha = -1$ and $N = 2^{m+1}$ to obtain $|e_\lambda(t,\tau)| \leqslant Ne_{-1}(t,\tau)$. However, we can not choose N to be independent from τ.

4. The domain of exponential stability of a time scale

We denote

$$S = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \text{ the scalar equation } x^\Delta = \lambda x \text{ is uniformly exponentially stable}\}.$$

The set S is called the domain of exponential stability of the time scale $\mathbb{T}$. By the definition, if $\lambda \in S$, there exist $\alpha > 0, -\alpha \in \mathcal{R}^+$ and $N \geqslant 1$ such that $|e_\lambda(t,\tau)| \leqslant Ne_{-\alpha}(t,\tau)$ for all $t \geqslant \tau$.

Theorem 4.1. *S is an open set in $\mathbb{C}$.*

Proof.

Let $\lambda \in S$. There are $\alpha > 0, -\alpha \in \mathcal{R}^+$ and $N \geqslant 1$ such that $|e_\lambda(t,\tau)| \leqslant Ne_{-\alpha}(t,\tau)$ for all $t \geqslant \tau$ and assume that $\mu \in \mathbb{C}, |\mu-\lambda| < \epsilon$, where $0 < \epsilon < \frac{\alpha}{N}$. We consider the equation $x^\Delta = \mu x = \lambda x + (\mu-\lambda)x$ with the initial condition $x(\tau) = x_0$.

By the formula of constant variation, we obtain

$$x(t) = e_\lambda(t,\tau)x_0 + \int_\tau^t e_\lambda(t,\sigma(s))(\mu-\lambda)x(s)\Delta s.$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned}|x(t)| &\leqslant N|x_0|e_{-\alpha}(t,\tau) + \int_\tau^t N\epsilon e_{-\alpha}(t,\sigma(s))|x(s)|\Delta s \\ &= N|x_0|e_{-\alpha}(t,\tau) + \int_\tau^t \frac{N\epsilon}{1-\alpha\mu(s)}e_{-\alpha}(t,s)|x(s)|\Delta s,\end{aligned}$$

or

$$\frac{|x(t)|}{e_{-\alpha}(t,\tau)} \leqslant N|x_0| + \int_\tau^t \frac{N\epsilon}{1-\alpha\mu(s)} \frac{|x(s)|}{e_{-\alpha}(s,\tau)}\Delta s.$$

Applying the Gronwall's inequality, we have

$$\frac{|x(t)|}{e_{-\alpha}(t,\tau)} \leqslant N|x_0| e_{\frac{N\epsilon}{1-\alpha\mu(\cdot)}}(t,\tau),$$

or

$$|x(t)| \leqslant N|x_0| e_{-\alpha\oplus\frac{N\epsilon}{1-\alpha\mu(\cdot)}}(t,\tau) = N|x_0|e_{-(\alpha-N\epsilon)}(t,\tau) \text{ for all } t \geqslant \tau.$$

It is obvious that $\alpha - N\epsilon > 0$ and $-(\alpha - N\epsilon) \in \mathcal{R}^+$). This relation says that $\{\mu \in \mathbb{C} : |\mu - \lambda| < \epsilon\} \subset S$, i.e., S is an open set in $\mathbb{C}$. The proof is complete.

Example 4.2.

1. When $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$ then $S = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \Re\lambda < 0\}$.
2. When $\mathbb{T} = h\mathbb{Z}$ $(h > 0)$ then $S = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, |1 + \lambda h| < 1\}$.
3. When $\mathbb{T} = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty}[2k, 2k+1]$ then $S = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \Re\lambda + \ln|1+\lambda| < 0\}$.

Indeed, if $\lambda = -1$ then for all $T \in \mathbb{T}$ there exists $t \in \mathbb{T}, t > T$ such that $1 + \lambda\mu(t) = 0$, this implies $x(\sigma(t)) = 0$. Therefore, in this case the equation $x^\Delta = \lambda x$ is (uniformly) exponentially stable.

Now assume $\lambda \neq -1$. When $2m = s < t = 2n$ we have $|e_\lambda(t,s)| = e^{\Re\lambda(n-m)}|1+\lambda|^{n-m} = e^{(\Re\lambda + \ln|1+\lambda|)(n-m)}$. Thus, $\lambda \in S$ if and only if $\Re\lambda + \ln|1+\lambda| < 0$. If $s, t \in \mathbb{T}$ such that $2m \leqslant s \leqslant 2m+1$ and $2n \leqslant t \leqslant 2n+1$. Since, $|e_\lambda(t,s)| = |e^{\lambda(2m+1-s)}e_\lambda(2n, 2m+2)e^{\lambda(t-2n)}(1+\lambda)| \leqslant Ne_{(\Re\lambda+\ln|1+\lambda|)/2}(t,s)$ we have the proof.

4. Similarly, if $\mathbb{T} = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty}[k, k+\alpha], \alpha \in (0,1)$ then $S = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \alpha\Re\lambda + \ln|1 + (1-\alpha)\lambda| < 0\}$, where we use the convention $\ln 0 = -\infty$.

5. Stability radius of linear dynamic equations with constant coefficients on time scales

Assume that the nominal equation

$$x^\Delta = Ax \tag{10}$$

is uniformly exponentially stable, where $A \in \mathbb{K}^{d\times d}$ ($\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$).

Consider the perturbed equation

$$x^\Delta = Ax + D\Delta Ex, \tag{11}$$

with $D \in \mathbb{K}^{d\times l}$, $E \in \mathbb{K}^{q\times d}$, and $\Delta \in \mathbb{K}^{l\times q}$ is an unknown time-invariant linear parameter disturbance. Denote $\mathcal{N} = \{\Delta \in \mathbb{K}^{l\times q}, \sigma(A + D\Delta E) \nsubseteq S\}$.

Definition 5.1. The structured stability radius of the dynamic equation (10) is defined by

$$r(A; D; E) := \inf\{\|\Delta\| \text{ the solution of (11) is not uniformly exponentially stable}\}.$$

By the assumption on (10) and due to Theorem , we have $\sigma(A) \subseteq S$ and

$$r(A; D; E) = \inf\{\|\Delta\| : \Delta \in \mathcal{N}\} = \sup\{r \geqslant 0, \sigma(A + D\Delta E) \subseteq S \ \forall\, \Delta \in \mathbb{K}^{l\times q}, \|\Delta\| \leqslant r\}.$$

Let $\lambda \in \rho(A) := \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(A)$, we define

$$r_\lambda(A; D; E) := \sup\{r \geqslant 0, \lambda \in \rho(A + D\Delta E) \text{ for all } \Delta \in \mathbb{K}^{l\times q} \text{ with } \|\Delta\| \leqslant r\}.$$

For a subset $\Omega \subseteq \rho(A)$, we define

$$r_\Omega(A; D; E) := \sup\{r \geqslant 0, \Omega \subseteq \rho(A + D\Delta E) \text{ for all } \Delta \in \mathbb{K}^{l\times q} \text{ with } \|\Delta\| \leqslant r\}.$$

Theorem 5.2. [See [13]] *For all $\lambda \in \rho(A)$ we have $r_\lambda(A; D; E) = \dfrac{1}{\|E(\lambda I - A)^{-1}D\|}$, where I is the identity matrix.*

Corollary 5.3. [See [13]] If $\Omega \subseteq \rho(A)$ then $r_\Omega(A; D; E) = \inf\limits_{\lambda\in\Omega} \dfrac{1}{\|E(\lambda I - A)^{-1}D\|}$.

Applying this result with $\Omega = \complement S = \mathbb{C} \setminus S$ we have,

Theorem 5.4.

$$r(A; D; E) = r_\Omega(A; D; E) = \inf_{\lambda\in\complement S} \frac{1}{\|E(\lambda I - A)^{-1}D\|}$$

Denote $G(\lambda) := E(\lambda I - A)^{-1}D$. By virtue of the properties $\lim\limits_{\lambda\to\infty} G(\lambda) = 0$ and $\complement S$ to be closed, we see that $\|G(\lambda)\|$ reaches its maximum value on $\complement S$. Moreover, since the function $G(\lambda)$ is analytic, the maximum value of $\|G(\lambda)\|$ over $\complement S$ can be achieved on the boundary $\partial\complement S = \partial S$. Thus,

Theorem 5.5.

$$r(A; D; E) = r_\Omega(A; D; E) = \Big\{ \max_{\lambda\in\partial S} \|G(\lambda)\| \Big\}^{-1}$$

We now construct a destabilizing perturbation whose norm is equal $r(A; D; E)$. Since $\|G(\lambda)\|$ reaches its maximum value on $\complement S$, by the theorem , there exists a $\lambda_0 \in \partial S$ such that $r(A; D; E) = \|G(\lambda_0)\|^{-1}$.

Let $u \in \mathbb{C}^l$ satisfying $\|G(\lambda_0)u\| = \|G(\lambda_0)\|,\ \|u\| = 1$. Applying the Hahn-Banach theorem, there exists a linear functional y^* defined on $\mathbb{K}^q$ such that $y^*(G(\lambda_0)u) = \|G(\lambda_0)u\| = \|G(\lambda_0)\|$ and $\|y^*\| = 1$. Putting $\Delta := \|G(\lambda_0)\|^{-1}uy^*$ we get

$$\|\Delta\| \leqslant \|G(\lambda_0)\|^{-1}\|u\|\|y^*\| = \|G(\lambda_0)\|^{-1}.$$

From

$$\Delta G(\lambda_0)u = \|G(\lambda_0)\|^{-1}uy^*G(\lambda_0)u = u,$$

we have

$$\|\Delta\| \geqslant \|G(\lambda_0)\|^{-1}.$$

Combining these inequalities we obtain

$$\|\Delta\| = \|G(\lambda_0)\|^{-1}.$$

Furthermore, let $x = (\lambda_0 I - A)^{-1}Du$ and from

$$\begin{aligned}(\lambda_0 I - A - D\Delta E)x &= (\lambda_0 I - A)(\lambda_0 I - A)^{-1}Du - D\|G(\lambda_0)\|^{-1}uy^*E(\lambda_0 I - A)^{-1}Du \\ &= Du - D\|G(\lambda_0)\|^{-1}uy^*G(\lambda_0)u = 0,\end{aligned}$$

it follows that $\lambda_0 \in \sigma(A + D\Delta E) \cap \complement S$. This means $\Delta \in \mathcal{N}$ and it is a destabilizing perturbation.

Example 5.6. Let $\mathbb{T} = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty}[k, k + \frac{1}{3}]$ and

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2 \\ 1 & -3 \end{pmatrix}; \quad D = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } E = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have the domain of exponential stability of this time scale is

$$S = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \frac{1}{3}\Re\lambda + \ln|1 + \frac{2}{3}\lambda| < 0\}.$$

Fig. 1. The domain of stability.

It is easy to see that $\sigma(A) = \{-1, -2\} \subseteq S$. The boundary of S is the set $\partial S = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \frac{1}{3}\Re\lambda + \ln|1 + \frac{2}{3}\lambda| = 0\}$ and

$$G(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2(\lambda+1)}{\lambda^2+3\lambda+2} & \frac{2}{\lambda^2+3\lambda+2} \\ 0 & \frac{\lambda+2}{\lambda^2+3\lambda+2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

With the maximum norm of $\mathbb{R}^2$, i.e., $\|(x, y)\| = \max\{|x|, |y|\}$ we have

$$\|G(\lambda)\| = \max\left\{\frac{2|\lambda+1|+2}{|\lambda^2+3\lambda+2|}, \frac{|\lambda+2|}{|\lambda^2+3\lambda+2|}\right\} = \frac{2(|\lambda+1|+1)}{|\lambda^2+3\lambda+2|}$$
$$= \frac{2}{|\lambda+2|}\Big(1 + \frac{1}{|\lambda+1|}\Big).$$

Put $\lambda = x + yi$. From $\lambda \in \partial S$ we have $(2x+3)^2 + 4y^2 = 9e^{-\frac{2}{3}x}$ and $x \leqslant 0$.

Then

$$\|G(\lambda)\| = F(x) := \frac{2}{\sqrt{\frac{9}{4}e^{-\frac{2}{3}x} + x + \frac{7}{4}}}\left(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{9}{4}e^{-\frac{2}{3}x} - x - \frac{5}{4}}}\right) \leqslant 2 = F(0) \text{ for all } x \leqslant 0.$$

Therefore $\max\limits_{\lambda\in\partial S}\|G(\lambda)\| = \|G(0)\| = 2$ and $r(A; D; E) = \frac{1}{2}$.

With the vector $u = (1, 1)$ it yields

$$\|G(0)u\| = \|G(0)\| = 2$$

Take the functional $y^* = (1, 0)$, we have $y^*(G(0)u) = \|G(0)u\| = \|G(0)\| = 2$ and $\|y^*\| = 1$. Let

$$\Delta = \|G(0)\|^{-1}uy^* = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We see that $\sigma(A + D\Delta E) = \{0, -2\} \nsubseteq S$ which implies $\Delta \in \mathcal{N}$ and $r(A; D; E) = \frac{1}{2} = \|\Delta\|$.

6. Conclusion

In this paper we have considered the exponential stability and given a formula for the stability radius of time-invarying linear dynamic equations with linear disturbance on time scales by giving the domain of exponential stability and showing the existence of a "bad" perturbation whose norm is

equal to the stability radius. In the theory of stability radii, the investigation whenever the real stability radius and complex one are equal is very important. Since the structure of the stability set is rather complicated, so far we have to leave it as open question.

References

[1] D. Hinrichsen, A.J. Pritchard, Stability radii of linear systems, *Systems & Control Lett.*, 7 (1986) 1.

[2] D. Hinrichsen, A.J. Pritchard, Stability radius for structured perturbations and the algebraic Riccati equation, *Systems & Control Lett.*, 8 (1986) 105.

[3] D. Hinrichsen; N.K. Son, The complex stability radius of discrete-time systems and symplectic pencils. *Proceedings of the 28th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*, 1-3 (1989) 2265.

[4] D. Hinrichsen, A. Ilchmann, A.J. Pritchard, Robustness of stability of time-varying linear systems, *J. Differential Equations*, 82 (1989) 219.

[5] B. Jacob, A formula for the stability radius of time-varying systems, *J. Differential Equations*, 142 (1998) 167.

[6] M. Bracke, *On stability radii of parametrized linear differential-algebraic systems*, Ph.D thesis, University of Kaiserslautern, 2000.

[7] N. H. Du, Stability radii for differential-algebraic equations, *Vietnam J. Math.*, 27 (1999) 379.

[8] Nguyen Huu Du, Vu Hoang Linh, Stability radii for linear time-invarying differential-equations with respect to dynamic perturbations, *J. Differential Equations*, 230 (2006) 579.

[9] N.T. Ha, B. Rejanadit, N.V. Sanh, N.H. Du, Stability radii for implicit difference equations, *Asia-Europian J. of Mathematics*, Vol 2, no 1(2009) 95.

[10] S. Hilger, *Ein Maßkettenkalkül mit anwendung auf zentrumsmannigfaltigkeiten*, Ph.D thesis, Universität Würzburg, 1988.

[11] J.J. DaCunha, Stability for time varying linear dynamic systems on time scales. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, Vol 176, no. 2 (2005) 381.

[12] C. Pötzsche, S. Siegmund, F. Wirth, A spectral characterization of exponential stability for linear time-invariant systems on time scales, *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical System*, Vol 9, no. 5(2003) 1123.

[13] A. Fisher, J.M.A.M. van Neerven, Robust stability of C_0-semigroups and application to stability of delay equations, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 226(1998) 82.

[14] E. Akin-Bohner, M. Bohner, F. Akin, Pachpatte inequalities on time scales, *J. Inequalities Pure and Applied Mathematics*, Vol 6, no. 1 (2005) 1.

[15] M. Bohner, A. Peterson, *Dynamic equations on time scales: An Introduction with Applications*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2001.

[16] M. Bohner, A. Peterson, *Advances in dynamic equations on time scales*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2003.

[17] S. Hilger, Analysis on measure chains - a unified approach to continuous and discrete calculus, *Results. Math.*, 18 (1990) 18.

[18] A. Cabada, D.R. Vivero, Expression of the Lebesgue Δ-integral on time scales as a usual Lebesgue integral; Application to calculus of Δ-antiderivatives, *Mathematical and Computer Modeling*, 43 (2006) 194.

[19] V. Lakshmikantham, S. Sivasundaram, B. Kaymakcalan, *Dynamic systems on measure chains*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1996.

[20] C. Pötzsche, *Analysis Auf Maßketten*, Universität Augsburg, 2002.

[21] T. Gard, J. Hoffacker, Asymptotic behavior of natural growth on time scales, *Dynamic Systems and Applications*, Vol 12, no. 1-2 (2003) 131.

[22] A. Peterson, Y.N. Raffoul, *Advances in difference equations*, Hindawi Publishing Corporation, 2005:2 (2005) 133.