

A new approach to testing an integrated water systems model using qualitative scenarios

Nguyen T.G., de Kok J.L., Titus M.J.

Water Engineering and Management, Faculty of Engineering Technology, University of Twente, PO Box 217, 7500 AE Enschede, Netherlands; Faculty of Hydro-meteorology and Oceanography, Hanoi University of Science, 334 Nguyen Trai, Thanh Xuan, Hanoi, Viet Nam; Department of Human Geography of Developing Countries, Faculty of Geo Science, Utrecht University PO Box 80115, 3508 TC Utrecht, Netherlands

Abstract: Integrated systems models have been developed over decades, aiming to support the decision-makers in the planning and managing of natural resources. The inherent model complexity, lack of knowledge about the linkages among model components, scarcity of field data, and uncertainty involved with internal and external factors of the real system call their practical usefulness into doubt. Validation tests designed for such models are just immature, and are argued to have some characteristics that differ from the ones used for validating other types of models. A new approach for testing integrated water systems models is proposed, and applied to test the RaMCo model. Expert knowledge is elicited in the form of qualitative scenarios and translated into quantitative projections using fuzzy set theory. Trend line comparison of the projections made by the RaMCO model and the qualitative projections based on expert knowledge revealed an insufficient number of land-use types adopted by the RaMCo model. This insufficiency makes the model inadequate to describe the consequences of the changes in socio-economic factors and policy options on the erosion from the catchment and the sediment yields at the inlet of a storage lake. ?? 2007 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Author Keywords: Fuzzy set; Land use change; RaMCo; Scenario; Sediment yield; Soil loss; Testing; Validation

Index Keywords: Decision making; Environmental engineering; Fuzzy sets; Integrated control; Knowledge engineering; Mathematical models; Real time control; Sediments; Soils; Land use change; Sediment yield; Soil loss; Water supply systems; decision making; fuzzy mathematics; land use change; model validation; natural resource; planning method; resource management; sediment yield; testing method

Year: 2007

Source title: Environmental Modelling and Software

Volume: 22

Issue: 11

Page : 1557-1571

Cited by: 18

Link: [Scopus Link](#)

Correspondence Address: Nguyen, T.G.; Water Engineering and Management, Faculty of Engineering Technology, University of Twente, PO Box 217, 7500 AE Enschede, Netherlands; email: giangnt@vnu.edu.vn

ISSN: 13648152

CODEN: EMSOF

DOI: 10.1016/j.envsoft.2006.08.005

Language of Original Document: English

Abbreviated Source Title: Environmental Modelling and Software

Document Type: Article

Source: Scopus

Authors with affiliations:

1. Nguyen, T.G., Water Engineering and Management, Faculty of Engineering Technology, University of Twente, PO Box 217, 7500 AE Enschede, Netherlands, Faculty of Hydro-meteorology and Oceanography, Hanoi University of Science, 334 Nguyen Trai, Thanh Xuan, Hanoi, Viet Nam
2. de Kok, J.L., Water Engineering and Management, Faculty of Engineering Technology, University of Twente, PO Box 217, 7500 AE Enschede, Netherlands
3. Titus, M.J., Department of Human Geography of Developing Countries, Faculty of Geo Science, Utrecht University PO Box 80115, 3508 TC Utrecht, Netherlands

References:

1. Alcamo, J., (2001) Scenarios as tools for international environmental assessments, , Environmental Issue Report, No. 24. Experts' Corner Report. Prospects and Scenarios No. 5, European Environment Agency, Copenhagen, Denmark
2. Aronica, G., Hankin, B., Beven, K., Uncertainty and equifinality in calibrating distributed roughness coefficients in a flood propagation model with limited data (1998) *Advances in Water Resources*, 22 (4), pp. 349-365
3. Beck, B., Model evaluation and performance (2002) *Encyclopedia of Environmetrics*, 3, pp. 1275-1279. , El-Shaarawi A.H., and Piegorisch W.W. (Eds), John Wiley, Chichester
4. Beck, M.B., Chen, J., Assuring the quality of model designed for predictive purposes (2000) *Sensitivity Analysis*, pp. 401-420. , Saltelli A., Chan K., and Scott E.M. (Eds), Wiley, Chichester
5. Cornelissen, A.M.G., Berg, J.V.D., Koops, W.J., Kaymak, U., Elicitation of expert knowledge for fuzzy evaluation of agricultural production systems (2003) *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, 95 (1), pp. 1-18
6. CTI, (1994) The detailed design of environmental improvement works and raw water transmission main in the Bili-Bili multipurpose dam project (phase 2). Design Report. Part 1, , Report prepared for the Government of the Republic of Indonesia, Ministry of Public works, CTI Engineering Co. Ltd., Japan
7. De Kok, J.L., Titus, M.J., Wind, H.G., Application of fuzzy sets and cognitive maps to incorporate social science scenarios in integrated assessment models: a case study of urbanization in Ujung Pandang, Indonesia (2000) *Integrated Assessment*, 1 (3), pp. 177-188
8. De Kok, J.L., Engelen, G., White, R., Wind, H.G., Modeling land-use change in a decision-support system for coastal zone management (2001) *Environmental Modeling and Assessment*, 6, pp. 123-132
9. De Kok, J.L., Wind, H.G., Rapid assessment of water systems based on internal consistency (2002) *Journal of Water Resources Planning and Management*, 128 (4), pp. 240-247
10. Draeseke, R., Giles, D.E.A., A fuzzy logic approach to modeling the New Zealand underground economy (2002) *Mathematics and Computers in Simulation*, 59, pp. 115-123
11. Ewen, J., Parkin, G., Validation of catchment models for predicting land-use and climate change impacts. 1. Method (1996) *Journal of Hydrology*, 175, pp. 583-594
12. Finlay, P.N., Wilson, J.M., Validity of decision support systems: towards a validation methodology (1997) *System Research*

and Behavioral Science, 14 (3), pp. 169-182

13. Flavelle, P., A quantitative measure of model validation and its potential use for regulatory purposes (1992) *Advances in Water Resources*, 15, pp. 5-13
14. Forrester, J.W., Senge, P.M., Tests for building confidence in system dynamics models (1980) *TIMS Studies in Management Sciences*, 14, pp. 209-228. , *System Dynamics*. Legasto Jr. A.A., Forrester J.W., and Lyneis J.M. (Eds) North Holland
15. Fraedrich, D., Goldberg, A., A methodological framework for the validation of predictive simulations (2000) *European Journal of Operational Research*, 124, pp. 55-62
16. Godet, M., Roubelat, F., Creating the future: the use and misuse of scenarios (1996) *Long Range Planning*, 29 (2), pp. 164-171
17. Jakeman, A.J., Letcher, R.A., Norton, J.P., Ten iterative steps in development and evaluation of environmental models (2006) *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 21, pp. 602-614
18. Kawano, S., Huynh, V.N., Ryoike, M., Nakamori, Y., A context-dependent knowledge model for evaluation of regional environment (2005) *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 20, pp. 343-352
19. Kirchner, J.W., Hooper, R.P., Kendall, C., Neal, C., Leavesley, G., Testing and validating environmental models (1996) *The Science of the Total Environment*, 183, pp. 33-47
20. Kleijnen, J.P.C., Verification and validation of simulation models (1995) *European J. Operational Research*, 82, pp. 145-162
21. Kleindorfer, J.B., O'Neill, L., Ganeshan, R., Validation in simulation: various positions in the philosophy of science (1998) *Management Science*, 44 (8), pp. 1087-1099
22. Konikow, L.P., Bredehoeft, J.D., Growth-water models cannot be validated (1992) *Advance in Water Resources*, 15, pp. 75-83
23. Loehle, C., A hypothesis testing framework for evaluating ecosystem model performance (1997) *Ecological Modeling*, 97, pp. 153-165
24. Mamdani, E.H., Assilian, S., An experiment in linguistic synthesis with a fuzzy logic controller (1975) *International Journal of Man-Machine Study*, 7, pp. 1-13
25. Mitchell, P.L., Misuse of regression for empirical validation of models (1997) *Agricultural Systems*, 54 (3), pp. 313-326
26. Nguyen, T.G., De Kok, J.L., Application of sensitivity and uncertainty analysis for validation of an integrated systems model for coastal zone management (2003) *Proceedings of the International Congress on Modelling and Simulation, MODSIM 2003*, pp. 542-547. , Post D.A. (Ed), *Modelling & Simulation Society of Australia & New Zealand Inc.*, Townville, Australia
27. Nguyen, T.G., de Kok, J.L., Systematic testing of an integrated systems model for coastal zone management using sensitivity and uncertainty analyses (2007) *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 22 (11), pp. 1572-1587
28. Oreskes, N., Evaluation (not validation) of quantitative models (1998) *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 106 (6), pp. 1453-1460
29. Oreskes, N., Frechette, K.S., Belitz, K., Verification, validation, and confirmation of numerical models in the earth sciences (1994) *Science*, 263, pp. 641-646
30. Pahl-Wostl, C., Towards sustainability in the water sector - the importance of human actors and processes of social learning (2002) *Aquatic Sciences*, 64, pp. 394-411
31. Parker, P., Letcher, R., Jakeman, A., Beck, M.B., Harris, G., Argent, R.M., Hare, M., Janssen, M., Progress in integrated assessment and modeling (2002) *Environmental modelling & Software*, 17, pp. 209-217
32. Poch, M., Comas, J., Rodríguez-Roda, I., Sánchez-Marr, M., Cortés, U., Designing and building real environmental decision support systems (2004) *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 19, pp. 857-873
33. Refsgaard, J.C., Henriksen, H.J., Modelling guidelines-terminology and guiding principles (2004) *Advances in Water*

Resources, 27, pp. 71-82

34. Refsgaard, J.C., Henriksen, H.J., Harrar, W.G., Scholten, H., Kassahun, A., Quality assurance in model based water management - review of existing practice and outline of new approaches (2005) *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 20, pp. 1201-1215
35. Rykiel, E.J., Testing ecological models: the meaning of validation (1996) *Ecological Modelling*, 90, pp. 229-244
36. Scholten, H., ten Cate, A.J.U., Quality assessment of the simulation modelling process (1999) *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, 22, pp. 199-208
37. Schwab, P., Cerutti, F., von Reibnitz, C., Foresight-using scenarios to shape the future of agriculture research (2003) *Foresight*, 5 (1), pp. 55-61
38. SCS, Sediment sources, yields, and delivery ratios (1971) *National Engineering Handbook*, Section 3: Sedimentation, , US Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service
39. Suriamihardja, D.A., (2001) Study of Integrated Management on Jeneberang Watershed, Phase 2. Final report made by the cooperation program between Canadian International Development Agency and Center for Environmental Study, , Hasanuddin University, Makassar, Indonesia
40. Van der Fels-Klerx, H.J., Horst, H.S., Dijkhuizen, A.A., Risk factors for bovine respiratory disease in dairy youngstock in The Netherlands: the perception of experts (2000) *Livestock Production Science*, 66, pp. 35-46
41. Van der Heijden, K., (1996) *Scenarios: The Art of Strategic Conversation*, , John Wiley & Sons, Chichester
42. Van Tongeren, O.F.R., Data analysis or simulation model: a critical evaluation of some methods (1995) *Ecological Modeling*, 78, pp. 51-60
43. Von Reibnitz, U., (1988) *Scenario Techniques*, , McGraw-Hill, Hamburg
44. White, R., Engelen, G., Cellular automata as the basis of integrated dynamic regional modelling (1997) *Environment and Planning B: Planning and Design*, 4, pp. 235-246
45. Wischmeier, W.H., Smith, D.D., (1965) Predicting rainfall-erosion losses from cropland east of the Rocky Mountains: Guide for Selection of Practices for Soil and Water Conservation, , Agriculture Handbook No. 282, US Printing Office, Washington
46. Wischmeier, W.H., Smith, D.D., (1978) Predicting rainfall-erosion losses, , Agriculture Handbook No. 537, US Department of Agriculture, Washington, DC
47. Zio, E., On the use of the analytical hierarchy process in the aggregation of expert judgments (1996) *Reliability Engineering and System Safety*, 53, pp. 127-138

Download Full Text: [0559.pdf](#)