

GENERALIED MASON'S THEOREM

Nguyen Thanh Quang, Phan Duc Tuan

Department of Mathematics, Vinh University

ABSTRACT. The purpose of this paper is to give a generalization of Mason's theorem by the Wronskian technique over fields of characteristic 0.

Keywords: The Wronskian technique, Marson's theorem.

1. Introduction

Let F be a fixed algebraically closed field of characteristic 0. Let $f(z)$ be a *polynomial non - constants* which coefficients in F and let $\bar{n}(1/f)$ be the number of distinct zeros of f . Then we have the following.

Marson's theorem. ([2]). *Let $a(z), b(z), c(z)$ be relatively prime polynomials in F and not all constants such that $a + b = c$. Then*

$$\max \{ \deg(a), \deg(b), \deg(c) \} \leq \bar{n} \left(\frac{1}{abc} \right) - 1.$$

It is now well known that Mason's Theorem implies the following corollary.

Corollary. (Fermat's Theorem over polynomials). *The equation $x^n + y^n = z^n$ has no solutions in non - constants and relatively prime polynomials in F if $n \leq 3$.*

The main theorem in this paper is as following:

Theorem 1.1. *Les $f_0, f_1, \dots, f_n$ be relatively primer polynomials and $f_0, f_1, \dots, f_n$ be linearly independent over F . If*

$$f_0 + f_1 + \dots + f_n = f_{n+1},$$

then

$$\max_{0 \leq i \leq n+1} \deg f_i \leq n \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \bar{n} \left(\frac{1}{f_i} \right) \right) - \frac{n(n+1)}{2}.$$

Remark. Theorem 1.1 is a generalization of Mason's theorem which was obtained for case $n = 1$.

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$ -T $\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}$

2. Proof of the main theorem

Let $\varphi(x) = \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \neq 0$ be a rational function, where $f(x), g(x)$ are non - zero and relatively prime polynomials on F . The degree of $\varphi(x)$, denoted by $\deg \varphi(x)$, is defined to be $\deg f(x) - \deg g(x)$. Here the notation $\deg f(x)$ means the degree of polynomial $f(x)$.

From the properties of polynomial, we have.

Proposition 2.1. *If φ_1 and φ_2 are the rational functions on F , then*

- 1) $\deg(\varphi_1\varphi_2) = \deg \varphi_1 + \deg \varphi_2$
- 2) $\deg\left(\frac{1}{\varphi_1}\right) = -\deg \varphi_1$
- 3) $\deg(\varphi_1 + \varphi_2) \leq \max(\deg \varphi_1, \deg \varphi_2)$.

Definition 2.2. Let $\varphi(x) \neq 0$ be a rational function on F . For every $a \in F$, we write

$$\varphi(x) = (x - \alpha)^m \frac{f_1(x)}{g_1(x)}, (m \in \mathbb{Z}),$$

where $f_1(x), g_1(x)$ are relatively prime polynomials and $f_1(\alpha) \neq 0, g_1(\alpha) \neq 0$. We call m order of φ at α .

Proposition 2.3. *If φ_1, φ_2 are rational functions on F and $a \in F$, then*

- 1) $\text{ord}_\alpha(\varphi_1\varphi_2) = \text{ord}_\alpha\varphi_1 + \text{ord}_\alpha\varphi_2$
- 2) $\text{ord}_\alpha\left(\frac{1}{\varphi_1}\right) = -\text{ord}_\alpha\varphi_1$
- 3) $\text{ord}_\alpha\left(\frac{\varphi_1}{\varphi_2}\right) = \text{ord}_\alpha\varphi_1 - \text{ord}_\alpha\varphi_2$.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $\varphi(x)$ be a the rational function on F and let the derivatives order $k, \varphi^{(k)} \neq 0$. Then*

$$\text{ord}_\alpha\left(\frac{\varphi^{(k)}}{\varphi}\right) \geq -k.$$

Proof. Let $\varphi(x) = (x - \alpha)^m \frac{f(x)}{g(x)}$, where $f(x), g(x)$ are relatively prime and $f(\alpha)g(\alpha) \neq 0$. Then, we have

$$\varphi'(x) = (x - \alpha)^{m-1} \frac{(mf(x) + (x - \alpha)f'(x)) + (x - \alpha)f(x)g'(x)}{g^2(x)}.$$

Since $\text{ord}_\alpha(g(x)) = 0$, we have

$$\text{ord}_\alpha(\varphi'(x)) \geq m - 1.$$

Therefore

$$\text{ord}_\alpha\left(\frac{\varphi'}{\varphi}\right) = \text{ord}_\alpha(\varphi') - \text{ord}_\alpha(\varphi) \geq -1.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord}_\alpha \left(\frac{\varphi^{(k)}}{\varphi} \right) &= \text{ord}_\alpha \left(\frac{\varphi'}{\varphi} \cdot \frac{\varphi''}{\varphi'} \cdots \frac{\varphi^{(k)}}{\varphi^{(k-1)}} \right) \\ &= \text{ord}_\alpha \left(\frac{\varphi'}{\varphi} \right) + \text{ord}_\alpha \left(\frac{\varphi''}{\varphi'} \right) + \cdots + \text{ord}_\alpha \left(\frac{\varphi^{(k)}}{\varphi^{(k-1)}} \right) \geq -k \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Proposition 2.5. Let φ_1, φ_2 be rational functions on F and $a \in F$. Then

$$\text{ord}_\alpha(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \geq \min\{\text{ord}_\alpha \varphi_1, \text{ord}_\alpha \varphi_2\}.$$

Proof. Let $\text{ord}_\alpha \varphi_1 = m_1$ and $\text{ord}_\alpha \varphi_2 = m_2$. Then

$$\varphi_1(x) = (x - \alpha)^{m_1} \frac{f_1(x)}{g_1(x)}, \quad (2)$$

$$\varphi_2(x) = (x - \alpha)^{m_2} \frac{f_2(x)}{g_2(x)}, \quad (3)$$

where f_1, f_2, g_1, g_2 are the polynomials over F and $f_1(\alpha), f_2(\alpha), g_1(\alpha), g_2(\alpha) \neq 0$. We set $m = \min(m_1, m_2)$. Then

$$\varphi_1(x) + \varphi_2(x) = (x - \alpha)^m \frac{[(x - \alpha)^{m_1-m} f_1(x) g_2(x) + (x - \alpha)^{m_2-m} f_2(x) g_1(x)]}{f_2(x) g_2(x)}.$$

Since $f_2(\alpha) g_2(\alpha) \neq 0$, we have

$$\text{ord}_\alpha(\varphi_1 + \varphi_2) \geq m = \min(\text{ord}_\alpha \varphi_1, \text{ord}_\alpha \varphi_2).$$

Definition 2.6. Let $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n$ be polynomials on F (but to a large extent what we do depends only on formal properties of derivations). We recall that their *Wronskian* is

$$W(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n) = \begin{vmatrix} f_1 & f_2 & \cdots & f_n \\ f_1' & f_2' & \cdots & f_n' \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_1^{(n-1)} & f_2^{(n-1)} & \cdots & f_n^{(n-1)} \end{vmatrix}$$

Remark. If $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n$ are linearly independent on F , then $W(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n) \neq 0$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $\{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ be a subset of $I = \{0, 1, \dots, n+1\}$. Then the equation $f_0 + f_1 + \dots + f_n = f_{n+1}$ implies $W(f_{\alpha_0}, \dots, f_{\alpha_n}) = \delta W(f_0, f_1, \dots, f_n)$, where $\delta = 1$ or -1 . Because $f_0, f_1, \dots, f_n$ are linearly independent, we obtain

$$W(f_0, f_1, \dots, f_n) \neq 0.$$

Then, we set

$$P(t) = \frac{W(f_0, f_1, \dots, f_n)}{f_0 f_1 \dots f_n},$$

$$Q(t) = \frac{f_0 f_1 \dots f_{n+1}}{W(f_0, f_1, \dots, f_n)}.$$

Hence, we have

$$f_{n+1} = P(t)Q(t).$$

We first prove that

$$\deg Q(t) \leq n \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \bar{n} \left(\frac{1}{f_i} \right) \right).$$

Let α be a zero of the function $Q(z)$. Then α is a zero of some polynomial f_i ($0 \leq i \leq n+1$). By the hypothesis that the polynomials are relatively prime, there exists a number v ($0 \leq v \leq n+1$) such that $f_v(\alpha) \neq 0$.

Let $\{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n\}$ be a subset $I \setminus \{v\}$, then we have

$$Q(t) = \delta \frac{f_{i_0} f_{i_1} \dots f_{i_n}}{W(f_0, f_1, \dots, f_n)} f_v.$$

Denote

$$R(t) = \frac{W(f_{i_0}, f_{i_1}, \dots, f_{i_n})}{f_{i_0} f_{i_1} \dots f_{i_n}}$$

as the logarithmic Wronskian corresponding to $\{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n\}$, which is

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \frac{f'_{i_0}}{f_{i_0}} & \frac{f'_{i_1}}{f_{i_1}} & \dots & \frac{f'_{i_n}}{f_{i_n}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{f_{i_0}^{(n-1)}}{f_{i_0}} & \frac{f_{i_1}^{(n-1)}}{f_{i_1}} & \dots & \frac{f_{i_n}^{(n-1)}}{f_{i_n}} \end{vmatrix}$$

Then $f_v = R(t)Q(t)$ and so $\text{ord}_\alpha R(t) = -\text{ord}_\alpha Q(t)$. Then the determinant $R(t)$ is a sum of following terms

$$\delta \frac{f'_{\alpha_0} f''_{\alpha_1} \dots f_{\alpha_n}^{(n-1)}}{f_{\alpha_0} f_{\alpha_1} \dots f_{\alpha_n}},$$

where $0 \leq \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \leq n+1$ and $\delta = 1$ or -1 .

By applying the propositions 2.3 and 2.4, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord}_\alpha \left(\frac{f'_{\alpha_0} f''_{\alpha_1} \dots f_{\alpha_n}^{(n-1)}}{f_{\alpha_0} f_{\alpha_1} \dots f_{\alpha_n}} \right) &= \text{ord}_\alpha \left(\frac{f'_{\alpha_0}}{f_{\alpha_0}} \right) + \text{ord}_\alpha \left(\frac{f''_{\alpha_1}}{f_{\alpha_1}} \right) + \dots + \text{ord}_\alpha \left(\frac{f_{\alpha_n}^{(n-1)}}{f_{\alpha_n}} \right) \\ &\geq -n \left(\sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq n+1 \\ f_i(\alpha)=0}} 1 \right). \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Therefore from Proposition 2.5, we have

$$\text{ord}_\alpha R(t) \leq -n \left(\sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq n+1 \\ f_i(\alpha)=0}} 1 \right)$$

and so

$$\text{ord}_\alpha Q(t) = -\text{ord}_\alpha R(t) \leq -n \left(\sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq n+1 \\ f_i(\alpha)=0}} 1 \right).$$

Since this inequality holds for any zero α of $Q(t)$, we get

$$\deg Q(t) \leq n \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \bar{n} \left(\frac{1}{f_i} \right) \right).$$

Next, we will prove that

$$\deg P(t) \leq -\frac{n(n+1)}{2}.$$

Here, we have $P(t)$ as the logarithmic Wronskian corresponding to $I = \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ which is

$$\begin{vmatrix} \frac{1}{f_0} & \frac{1}{f_1} & \cdots & \frac{1}{f_n} \\ \frac{f'_0}{f_0} & \frac{f'_1}{f_1} & \cdots & \frac{f'_n}{f_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{f_0^{(n)}}{f_0} & \frac{f_1^{(n)}}{f_1} & \cdots & \frac{f_n^{(n)}}{f_n} \end{vmatrix}$$

The determinant $P(t)$ is a sum of following terms

$$\delta \frac{f'_{\beta_0} f''_{\beta_1} \cdots f_{\beta_n}^{(n-1)}}{f_{\beta_0} f_{\beta_1} \cdots f_{\beta_n}}.$$

For every term, by Proposition 2.4 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \deg \left(\frac{f'_{\beta_0} f''_{\beta_1} \cdots f_{\beta_n}^{(n-1)}}{f_{\beta_0} f_{\beta_1} \cdots f_{\beta_n}} \right) &= \deg \left(\frac{f'_{\beta_0}}{f_{\beta_0}} \right) \deg \left(\frac{f''_{\beta_1}}{f_{\beta_1}} \right) + \cdots + \deg \left(\frac{f_{\beta_n}^{(n)}}{f_{\beta_n}} \right) \\ &= -(1 + 2 + \cdots + n) = -\frac{n(n+1)}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Therefore

$$\deg P(t) \leq -\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$$

so

$$\deg f_{n+1} = \deg P(t) + \deg Q(t) \leq n \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \bar{n} \left(\frac{1}{f_i} \right) \right) - \frac{n(n+1)}{2}.$$

By the similar arguments applying to the polynomial $f_0, f_1, \dots, f_n$, we have

$$\max_{0 \leq i \leq n+1} (\deg f_i) \leq n \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \bar{n} \left(\frac{1}{f_i} \right) \right) - \frac{n(n+1)}{2}.$$

Theorem 1.1 is proved.

References

1. S. Lang, *Introduction to Complex Hyperbolic Spaces*, Springer - Verlag, (1987).
2. S. Lang, Old and new conjecture Diophantine inequalities, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **23** (1990), 37 - 75.
3. R. C. Mason, Diophantine Equations over Function Fields, *London Math. Soc., Lecture Notes*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Vol. **96** (1984).
4. M. Ru and J. T. - Y. Wang, A second main type inequality for holomorphic curves intersecting hyperplanes, *Preprint*.