

On stability of Lyapunov exponents

Nguyen Sinh Bay^{1,*}, Tran Thi Anh Hoa²

¹*Department of Mathematics, Vietnam University of Commerces, Ho Tung Mau, Hanoi, Vietnam*

²*456 Minh Khai, Hanoi, Vietnam*

Received 21 March 2008; received in revised form 9 April 2008

Abstract. In this paper we consider the upper (lower) - stability of Lyapunov exponents of linear differential equations in R^n . Sufficient conditions for the upper - stability of maximal exponent of linear systems under linear perturbations are given. The obtained results are extended to the system with nonlinear perturbations.

Keyword: Lyapunov exponents, upper (lower) - stability, maximal exponent.

1. Introduction

Let us consider a linear system of differential equations

$$\dot{x} = A(t)x; \quad t \geq t_0 \geq 0. \quad (1)$$

where $A(t)$ is a real $n \times n$ - matrix function, continuous and bounded on $[t_0; +\infty)$. It is well known that the above assumption guarantees the boundeness of the Lyapunov exponents of system (1). Denote by

$$\lambda_1; \lambda_2; \dots; \lambda_n \quad (\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n)$$

the Lyapunov exponents of system (1).

Definition 1. The maximal exponent λ_n of system (1) is said to be upper - stable if for any given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta = \delta(\epsilon) > 0$ such that for any continuous on $[t_0; +\infty)$ $n \times n$ - matrix $B(t)$, satisfying $\|B(t)\| < \delta$, the maximal exponent μ_n of perturbed system

$$\dot{x} = [A(t) + B(t)]x, \quad (2)$$

satisfies the inequality

$$\mu_n < \lambda_n + \epsilon. \quad (3)$$

If $\|B(t)\| < \delta$ implies $\mu_1 > \lambda_1 - \epsilon$, we say that the minimal exponent λ_1 of system (1) is lower - stable.

In general, the maximal (minimal) exponent of system (1) is not always upper (lower) - stable [1]. However, if system (1) is redusable (in the Lyapunov sense) then its maximal (minimal) exponent is upper (lower) - stable. In particular, if system (1) is periodic then it has this property [2,3]. A problem arises: In what conditions the maximal (minimal) exponent of nonreducible systems is upper (lower) - stable? The aim of this paper is to show a class of nonreducible systems, having this property.

* Corresponding author. E-mail: nsbay@yahoo.com

2. Preliminary lemmas

Lemma 1. *Let system (1) be regular in the Lyapunov sense. The maximal exponent λ_n is upper - stable if only if the minimal exponent of the adjoint system to (1) is lower - stable.*

Proof. We denote by

$$\alpha_1; \alpha_2; \dots; \alpha_n \quad (\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \dots \geq \alpha_n)$$

the Lyapunov exponents of the adjoint system to (1):

$$\dot{y} = -A^*(t)y. \quad (4)$$

According to the Perron theorem, we have

$$\lambda_1 + \alpha_1 = 0, \quad \lambda_n + \alpha_n = 0. \quad (5)$$

If the maximal exponent λ_n of system (1) is upper - stable then the minimal exponent α_n of system (4) is lower - stable. In fact, denoting by

$$\beta_1; \beta_2; \dots; \beta_n \quad (\beta_1 \geq \beta_2 \geq \dots \geq \beta_n)$$

the Lyapunov exponents of adjoint system to (2), we have

$$\beta_1 + \mu_1 = 0, \quad \beta_n + \mu_n = 0. \quad (6)$$

Hence

$$\beta_n = -\mu_n > -\lambda_n - \epsilon = \alpha_n - \epsilon \quad \text{if } \|B^*(t)\| < \delta. \quad (7)$$

Conversely, suppose that the minimal exponent α_n is lower - stable, then if (7) is satisfied we have

$$\beta_n \geq \alpha_n - \epsilon.$$

Then

$$\mu_n = -\beta_n < -\alpha_n + \epsilon = \lambda_n + \epsilon.$$

Which proves the lemma.

Consider now a nonlinear system of the form

$$\dot{x} = A(t)x + f(t, x). \quad (8)$$

Lemma 2. (Principle of linear inclusion) [1] *Let $x(t)$ be an any nontrivial solution of system (8). There exists a matrix $F(t)$ such that $x(t)$ is a solution of the linear system*

$$\dot{y} = [A(t) + F(t)]y.$$

Moreover, if $f(t, x)$ satisfies the condition

$$\|f(t, x)\| \leq g(t)\|x\|; \quad \forall t \geq t_0; \quad \forall x \in R^n,$$

then matrix $F(t)$ satisfies the inequality

$$\|F(t)\| \leq g(t); \quad \forall t \geq t_0.$$

The proof of Lemma 2 is given in [1].

3. Main results

3.1. Stability of system with the linear perturbations

In this section we consider systems of two linear differential equations in R^2 :

$$\dot{x} = A(t)x \quad (9)$$

$$\dot{x} = A(t)x + B(t)x. \quad (10)$$

We denote by $\mu_1; \mu_2$ and $\lambda_1; \lambda_2$ ($\mu_1 \leq \mu_2$; $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2$) the exponents of systems (9) and (10) respectively. Let:

$$A(t) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}(t) & a_{12}(t) \\ a_{21}(t) & a_{22}(t) \end{pmatrix}; \quad B(t) = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11}(t) & b_{12}(t) \\ b_{21}(t) & b_{22}(t) \end{pmatrix}$$

We suppose that $A(t), B(t)$ are real matrix functions, continuous on $[t_0; +\infty)$ and $\sup_{t \geq t_0} \|A(t)\| = M < +\infty$.

Theorem 1. *Let system (9) be regular and there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \sqrt{[a_{22}(t) - a_{11}(t)]^2 + [a_{21}(t) + a_{12}(t)]^2} dt \leq C < +\infty,$$

then the maximal exponent λ_2 of system (9) is upper - stable.

Proof. Let

$$W(t) = \sqrt{[a_{22}(t) - a_{11}(t)]^2 + [a_{21}(t) + a_{12}(t)]^2}.$$

According to the Perron theorem [1,4] there exists an orthogonal matrix function $U(t)$ (i.e. $U^*(t) = U^{-1}(t)$, $\forall t \geq t_0$) such that by the following transformation

$$x = U(t)y \quad (11)$$

the system $\dot{x} = A(t)x$ is reduced to

$$\dot{y} = P(t)y \quad (12)$$

where $P(t)$ is a matrix of the triangle form:

$$P(t) = \begin{pmatrix} p_{11}(t) & p_{12}(t) \\ 0 & p_{22}(t) \end{pmatrix}.$$

The matrix $P(t)$ is defined as $P(t) = U^{-1}(t)A(t)U(t) - U^{-1}(t)\dot{U}(t)$.

Now we show that if matrix $A(t)$ is bounded on $[t_0; +\infty)$, then matrix $P(t)$ is also bounded on this interval, i. e. exists a constant $M_1 > 0$ such that $\|P(t)\| \leq M_1$, $\forall t \geq t_0$. Indeed, let:

$$\tilde{A}(t) = (\tilde{a}_{ij}(t)) = U^{-1}(t)A(t)U(t); \quad V(t) = (v_{ij}(t)) = U^{-1}(t)\dot{U}(t).$$

It is easy to show that $V^*(t) = -V(t)$. This implies $v_{ii}(t) = 0$, $\forall i = 1, 2$. Thus, we get

$$v_{ij}(t) = \begin{cases} -\tilde{a}_{ji}(t) & \text{if } i < j \\ 0 & \text{if } i = j \\ \tilde{a}_{ij}(t) & \text{if } i > j. \end{cases}$$

Since $A(t), U(t), U^{-1}(t)$ are bounded, matrix $P(t)$ is also bounded on $[t_0; +\infty)$. Let $\|P(t)\| \leq M_1$, $\forall t \geq t_0$. Taking the same Perron transformation to system (10), we obtain

$$\dot{x} = \dot{U}(t)y + U(t)\dot{y} = A(t)x + B(t)x$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Leftrightarrow U(t)\dot{y} = A(t)x + B(t)x - \dot{U}(t)y \\
&\Leftrightarrow U(t)\dot{y} = A(t)U(t)y + B(t)U(t)y - \dot{U}(t)y \\
&\Leftrightarrow \dot{y} = [U^{-1}(t)A(t)U(t) - U^{-1}(t)\dot{U}(t)]y + U^{-1}(t)B(t)U(t)y.
\end{aligned}$$

Denoting $Q(t) = U^{-1}(t)B(t)U(t)$, the last equation is in the form

$$\dot{y} = P(t)y + Q(t)y. \quad (13)$$

Writing triangle matrix $P(t)$ as follows:

$$P(t) = \begin{pmatrix} p_{11}(t) & p_{12}(t) \\ 0 & p_{22}(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p_{11}(t) & 0 \\ 0 & p_{22}(t) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & p_{12}(t) \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{and putting } \tilde{P}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} p_{11}(t) & 0 \\ 0 & p_{22}(t) \end{pmatrix}; \quad \tilde{Q}(t) = Q(t) + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & p_{12}(t) \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

we have

$$\dot{y} = \tilde{P}(t)y + \tilde{Q}(t)y. \quad (14)$$

Taking the linear transformation $y = Sz$ with

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{M_1}{\delta} & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{\frac{M_1}{\delta}} \end{pmatrix},$$

from (14) we get the following equivalent equation

$$\dot{z} = S^{-1}\tilde{P}(t)Sz + S^{-1}\tilde{Q}(t)Sz = \tilde{P}(t)z + S^{-1}\tilde{Q}(t)Sz. \quad (15)$$

Denoting by $\hat{Q}(\tau)$ the similar matrix of matrix $\tilde{Q}(\tau)$, we have

$$\hat{Q}(\tau) = S^{-1}\tilde{Q}(\tau)S = S^{-1}Q(\tau)S + S^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & p_{12}(\tau) \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}S,$$

which gives

$$\|\hat{Q}(\tau)\| \leq \|S^{-1}Q(\tau)S\| + \|S^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & p_{12}(\tau) \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}S\|. \quad (16)$$

The solutions of the homogeneous system $\dot{z} = \tilde{P}(t)z$ is defined as follows

$$\dot{z} = \tilde{P}(t)z \Leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \dot{z}_1 \\ \dot{z}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p_{11}(t) & 0 \\ 0 & p_{22}(t) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} z_1(t) = C_1 e^{\int_{t_0}^t p_{11}(\tau) d\tau} \\ z_2(t) = C_2 e^{\int_{t_0}^t p_{22}(\tau) d\tau} \end{cases}.$$

Therefore

$$\Phi(t, \tau) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\int_{t_0}^t p_{11}(s) ds - \int_{t_0}^{\tau} p_{11}(s) ds} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\int_{t_0}^t p_{22}(s) ds - \int_{t_0}^{\tau} p_{22}(s) ds} \end{pmatrix}$$

is the Cauchy matrix of this system.

The solution satisfied the initial condition $z(t_0) = z_0$ of nonhomogeneous system (15) is given by [5]

$$z(t) = \Phi(t, t_0)z_0 + \int_{t_0}^t \Phi(t, \tau)S^{-1}\tilde{Q}(\tau)Sz(\tau)d\tau,$$

$$\text{which is the same as } \Phi^{-1}(t, t_0)z(t) = z_0 + \int_{t_0}^t \Phi^{-1}(t, t_0)\Phi(t, \tau)S^{-1}\tilde{Q}(\tau)Sz(\tau)d\tau$$

$$\text{or } \Phi^{-1}(t, t_0)z(t) = z_0 + \int_{t_0}^t \Phi(t_0, \tau)S^{-1}\tilde{Q}(\tau)S\Phi(\tau, t_0)\Phi^{-1}(\tau, t_0)z(\tau)d\tau.$$

Then

$$\|\Phi^{-1}(t, t_0)z(t)\| \leq \|z_0\| + \int_{t_0}^t \|\Phi(t_0, \tau)S^{-1}\tilde{Q}(\tau)S\Phi(\tau, t_0)\| \|\Phi^{-1}(\tau, t_0)z(\tau)\| d\tau \quad (17)$$

($t \geq \tau, s \geq t_0$)

Denoting by $\tilde{q}_{ij}(t)$ the elements of matrix $\tilde{Q}(t)$ and let

$$D = \Phi(t_0, \tau)S^{-1}\tilde{Q}(\tau)S\Phi(\tau, t_0),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} D &= \begin{pmatrix} e^{-\int_{t_0}^{\tau} p_{11}(s)ds} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\int_{t_0}^{\tau} p_{22}(s)ds} \end{pmatrix} S^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{q}_{11}(\tau) & \tilde{q}_{12}(\tau) \\ \tilde{q}_{21}(\tau) & \tilde{q}_{22}(\tau) \end{pmatrix} S \begin{pmatrix} e^{\int_{t_0}^{\tau} p_{11}(s)ds} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\int_{t_0}^{\tau} p_{22}(s)ds} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{q}_{11}(\tau) & \tilde{q}_{12}(\tau)e^{\int_{t_0}^{\tau} [p_{22}(s)-p_{11}(s)]ds} \\ \tilde{q}_{21}(\tau)e^{\int_{t_0}^{\tau} [p_{11}(s)-p_{22}(s)]ds} & \tilde{q}_{22}(\tau) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

We can verify that

$$\left\| S^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & p_{12}(\tau) \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} S \right\| = \left\| \begin{pmatrix} 0 & p_{12}(\tau)\sqrt{\frac{\delta}{M_1}} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\| \leq \sqrt{\delta}\sqrt{M_1}.$$

Since

$$\|Q(\tau)\| = \|U^{-1}(\tau)B(\tau)U(\tau)\| \leq \|U^{-1}(\tau)\| \|B(\tau)\| \|U(\tau)\| \leq 1.\delta.1 = \delta,$$

denoting $\max\{1 + \sqrt{\frac{1}{M_1}}; 1 + \sqrt{M_1}\} = M_2$ and choosing δ small enough such that $0 < \delta < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|S^{-1}Q(\tau)S\| &= \left\| \begin{pmatrix} q_{11}(\tau) & q_{12}(\tau)\sqrt{\frac{\delta}{M_1}} \\ q_{21}(\tau)\sqrt{\frac{M_1}{\delta}} & q_{22}(\tau) \end{pmatrix} \right\| \leq \max\{\delta(1 + \sqrt{\frac{\delta}{M_1}}); \delta(1 + \sqrt{\frac{M_1}{\delta}})\} \\ &= \max\{\sqrt{\delta}(\sqrt{\delta} + \delta\sqrt{\frac{1}{M_1}}); \sqrt{\delta}(\sqrt{\delta} + \sqrt{M_1})\} \leq \sqrt{\delta} \max\{1 + \sqrt{\frac{1}{M_1}}; 1 + \sqrt{M_1}\} := \sqrt{\delta}M_2. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, applying the above inequalities to (16), we have $\|\hat{Q}(\tau)\| \leq 2M_2\sqrt{\delta}$.

Now, we establish the norm of matrix D as follows:

It is known that in R^2 orthogonal matrix $U(t)$ has just one of two the following forms:

$$\text{a) } U(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \phi(t) & \sin \phi(t) \\ \sin \phi(t) & -\cos \phi(t) \end{pmatrix}; \quad \text{b) } U(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \phi(t) & -\sin \phi(t) \\ \sin \phi(t) & \cos \phi(t) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Without loss of the generality we suppose that matrix $U(t)$ has the form a). In this case, we have

$$U^{-1}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \phi(t) & \sin \phi(t) \\ \sin \phi(t) & -\cos \phi(t) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since in Perron transformation $x = U(t)y$, where $U(t)$ is a orthogonal matrix, the diagonal elements of matrix $P(t)$ and matrix $U^{-1}(t)A(t)U(t)$ are the same $p_{11}(t)$ and $p_{22}(t)$. Therefore we obtain that

$$p_{22}(t) - p_{11}(t) = [a_{22}(t) - a_{11}(t)] \cos 2\phi(t) - [a_{21}(t) + a_{12}(t)] \sin 2\phi(t).$$

It is easy to see that, there is a function $\psi(t)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} p_{22}(t) - p_{11}(t) &= \sqrt{[a_{22}(t) - a_{11}(t)]^2 + [a_{21}(t) + a_{12}(t)]^2} \cos[2\phi(t) + \psi(t)] \\ &= W(t) \cos[2\phi(t) + \psi(t)]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|\tilde{q}_{ij}(t)\| \leq \|\tilde{Q}(t)\| \leq 2M_2\sqrt{\delta}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|D\| &= \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{q}_{11}(\tau) & \tilde{q}_{12}(\tau)e^{\int_{t_0}^{\tau} [p_{22}(s)-p_{11}(s)]ds} \\ \tilde{q}_{21}(\tau)e^{\int_{t_0}^{\tau} [p_{11}(s)-p_{22}(s)]ds} & \tilde{q}_{22}(\tau) \end{pmatrix} \right\| \\ &\leq 2M_2\sqrt{\delta}[2 + e^{\int_{t_0}^{\tau} [p_{22}(s)-p_{11}(s)]ds} + e^{\int_{t_0}^{\tau} [p_{11}(s)-p_{22}(s)]ds}] \\ &= 2M_2\sqrt{\delta}[2 + e^{\int_{t_0}^{\tau} W(s) \cos[2\phi(s)+\psi(s)]ds} + e^{\int_{t_0}^{\tau} W(s) \cos[2\phi(s)+\psi(s)-\pi]ds}]. \end{aligned}$$

From the assumptions $\int_{t_0}^{+\infty} W(t)dt \leq C < +\infty$, we have

$$\|D\| \leq 2M_2\sqrt{\delta}(2 + 2e^C) = M_3\sqrt{\delta} \text{ where } M_3 := 2M_2(2 + 2e^C).$$

Applying the last inequality to (17), we get

$$\|\Phi^{-1}(t, t_0)z(t)\| \leq \|z_0\| + \int_{t_0}^t M_3\sqrt{\delta}\|\Phi^{-1}(\tau, t_0)z(\tau)\|d\tau. \quad (18)$$

($t \geq \tau, s \geq t_0$)

According to the Gronwall - Belman inequality [1, 4, 5], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi^{-1}(t, t_0)z(t)\| &\leq \|z_0\|e^{M_3\sqrt{\delta}\int_{t_0}^t d\tau} = \|z_0\|e^{M_3\sqrt{\delta}(t-t_0)} \\ \Rightarrow \begin{cases} e^{-\int_{t_0}^t p_{11}(\tau)d\tau} z_1(t) \leq \|z_0\|e^{M_3\sqrt{\delta}(t-t_0)} \\ e^{-\int_{t_0}^t p_{22}(\tau)d\tau} z_2(t) \leq \|z_0\|e^{M_3\sqrt{\delta}(t-t_0)} \end{cases} &\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} z_1(t) \leq \|z_0\|e^{M_3\sqrt{\delta}(t-t_0)}e^{\int_{t_0}^t p_{11}(\tau)d\tau} \\ z_2(t) \leq \|z_0\|e^{M_3\sqrt{\delta}(t-t_0)}e^{\int_{t_0}^t p_{22}(\tau)d\tau} \end{cases}. \end{aligned}$$

Using properties of Lyapunov exponents, we get

$$\begin{cases} \chi[z_1] \leq \chi[\|z_0\|e^{M_3\sqrt{\delta}(t-t_0)}] + \chi[e^{\int_{t_0}^t p_{11}(\tau)d\tau}] = M_3\sqrt{\delta} + \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_{t_0}^t p_{11}(\tau)d\tau \\ \chi[z_2] \leq \chi[\|z_0\|e^{M_3\sqrt{\delta}(t-t_0)}] + \chi[e^{\int_{t_0}^t p_{22}(\tau)d\tau}] = M_3\sqrt{\delta} + \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_{t_0}^t p_{22}(\tau)d\tau. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that in Perron transformations the Lyapunov exponents are unchanged [1,4]. Thus, for any small enough given $\epsilon > 0$, choosing $0 < \delta < (\frac{\epsilon}{M_3})^2$, we obtain that

$$\begin{cases} \chi[x_1] = \chi[z_1] \leq \lambda_1 + \epsilon \\ \chi[x_2] = \chi[z_2] \leq \lambda_2 + \epsilon \end{cases} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{cases} \mu_1 \leq \lambda_1 + \epsilon \\ \mu_2 \leq \lambda_2 + \epsilon. \end{cases}$$

The same result is proved for the case, when matrix $U(t)$ has form b).

The proof of theorem is completed.

Corollary 1. Suppose that all assumptions of Theorem 1 hold. Then the minimal exponent of system (9) is lower - stable.

Proof. From Lemma 1 it follows that minimal exponent of system (9) is lower - stable if the maximal exponent of adjoint system $\dot{x} = -A^*(t)x$ to this system is upper - stable. According to Theorem 1, the last requirement will be satisfied if the following inequality holds

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \sqrt{[-a_{22}(t) + a_{11}(t)]^2 + [-a_{21}(t) - a_{12}(t)]^2} dt \leq C < +\infty \\ &\Leftrightarrow \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \sqrt{[a_{22}(t) - a_{11}(t)]^2 + [a_{21}(t) + a_{12}(t)]^2} dt \leq C < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the corollary.

3.2. Stability of systems with nonlinear perturbations

We consider the following linear system with nonlinear perturbation in R^n :

$$\dot{x} = A(t)x + f(t, x). \quad (19)$$

Since the system (19) is nonlinear, it is difficult to study its spectrum [5]. However under the suitable conditions we can obtain some results on it, for example, to study supremum of its all exponents. Let us denote this supremum by μ_{sup} .

Definition 2. The maximal exponent λ_n of homogeneous system $\dot{x} = A(t)x$ is said to be upper - stable under the nonlinear perturbation $f(t, x)$ if for any given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta = \delta(\epsilon) > 0$ such that if following inequality holds $\|f(t, x)\| \leq \delta\|x\|$, then

$$\mu_{\text{sup}} < \lambda_n + \epsilon. \quad (20)$$

We consider now the system (9) and (19) in R^2 . For this space the following result is obtained:

Theorem 2. Suppose that:

i) System (9) is regular and there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \sqrt{[a_{22}(t) - a_{11}(t)]^2 + [a_{21}(t) + a_{12}(t)]^2} dt \leq C < +\infty.$$

ii) Function $f(t, x)$ is continuous on $[t_0; +\infty)$ and there exists a function $g(t) > 0$, $\forall t \geq t_0$, satisfying the condition:

$$\|f(t, x)\| \leq g(t)\|x\|, \quad \forall t \geq t_0$$

Then maximal exponent λ_2 of system (9) under perturbation $f(t, x)$ is upper - stable.

Proof. We denote by $x_0(t) = x(t_0, x_0, t)$ the solution of system (19), which satisfies initial condition $x_0(t_0) = x_0$. Denote by $F_{x_0}(t)$ the function matrix corresponding to this solution in the sense of Lemma 2, i.e. for this solution there exists a function matrix $F_{x_0}(t)$ such that $x_0(t)$ is a solution of the following linear system

$$\dot{x} = A(t)x + F_{x_0}(t)x, \quad (x_0 \in R^2), \quad (21)$$

where $\|F_{x_0}(t)\| \leq g(t)$, $\forall t \geq t_0$. We denote by $\mu_1^{x_0} \leq \mu_2^{x_0}$ the elements of spectrum of nonlinear system (19). According to Theorem 1, for every given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\|F_{x_0}(t)\| \leq \delta \quad \text{implies} \quad \mu_2^{x_0} < \lambda_2 + \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \quad \forall x_0 \in R^2.$$

From $\|F_{x_0}(t)\| \leq g(t) \leq \delta$, we have

$$\mu_2^{x_0} \leq \lambda_2 + \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \quad \forall x_0 \in R^2.$$

Therefore, we obtain that

$$\mu_{\text{sup}} = \sup_{x_0 \in R^2} \mu_2^{x_0} \leq \lambda_2 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} < \lambda_2 + \epsilon.$$

The proof is therefore completed.

Corollary 2. Suppose that conditions i) and ii) of Theorem 2 hold and the function $g(t)$ in condition ii) satisfies the condition

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} g(t) = 0.$$

Then maximal exponent λ_2 of system (9) under perturbation $f(t, x)$ is upper - stable.

Proof. For every given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\|F_{x_0}(t)\| \leq \delta \quad \text{implies} \quad \mu_2^{x_0} < \lambda_2 + \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \quad \forall x_0 \in R^2.$$

Since $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} g(t) = 0$, for $\delta > 0$ there exists $T = T(\delta) \geq t_0$ such that $0 < g(t) < \delta$, $\forall t \geq T$. Thus, if $t \geq T$ then $\|F_{x_0}(t)\| \leq g(t) \leq \delta$. Taking to limit as $t \rightarrow +\infty$, we have

$$\mu_2^{x_0} \leq \lambda_2 + \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \quad \forall x_0 \in R^2.$$

Taking to supremum over all $x_0 \in R^2$, we have

$$\mu_{\sup} = \sup_{x_0 \in R^2} \mu_2^{x_0} \leq \lambda_2 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} < \lambda_2 + \epsilon.$$

The proof is therefore completed.

Example. Consider the system

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = (1 + \frac{1}{t^2})x_1 \\ \dot{x}_2 = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{t^2}x_1 + (1 + \frac{2}{t^2})x_2 \\ t \geq 1. \end{cases} \quad (22)$$

It is easy to see that this system is nonreducible and nonperiodic. We can show that for this system:

$$\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_1^t \text{Sp}A(s)ds = 2.$$

Therefore, system (22) is regular. We can see also for this system:

$$W(t) = \sqrt{[(1 + \frac{2}{t^2}) - (1 + \frac{1}{t^2})]^2 + (\frac{\sqrt{3}}{t^2})^2} = \frac{2}{t^2}.$$

Therefore, we get

$$\int_1^t W(s)ds = 2 - \frac{2}{t} \leq 2, \quad \forall t \geq 1.$$

Thus, system (22) satisfies all conditions of Theorem 1. Its maximal exponent is upper - stable.

References

- [1] B.F. Bulop, P.E. Vinograd, D.M. Gropman, B.B. Nemeskii, *Theory of Lyapunov exponents and applications to stability problems*, Nauka, Moscow (1966) (in Russian).
- [2] Nguyen The Hoan, Dao Thi Lien, On uniform stability of characteristic spectrum for sequences of linear equation systems, *Journal of Science, VNU T XV* (5) (1999) 28.
- [3] Nguyen Minh Man, Nguyen The Hoan, On some asymptotic behaviour for solutions of linear differential equations, *Ukrainian Math. Journal* Vol 55 (4) (2003) 501.
- [4] B.P. Demidovich, *Lectures on Mathematical Theory of Stability*, Nauka (1967) (in Russian).
- [5] N.S. Bay, V.N. Phat, Asymptotic stability of a class of nonlinear functional differential equations, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Applications* Vol 7 (2) (2002) 299.